



**University of Technology, Sydney**

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

# **Dynamic Performance of Timber and Timber-Concrete Composite Flooring Systems**

By

**Rajendra Rijal**

BEng. (Civil), MESTud (Structure)

Thesis submitted for fulfilment of  
requirements for the degree of  
**Doctor of Philosophy**

2013



# **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for any degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. And help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

-----  
Rajendra Rijal  
February 2013

**To My Wife & “Doshi” Raju Dai**

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This PhD project would not have been possible without the assistance, understanding and guidance rendered by numerous kind people, to only some of whom it is possible to give particular mention here, throughout the project.

Above all, I offer my sincerest gratitude to my principal supervisor, Professor Bijan Samali for his patience, outstanding guidance, knowledge, motivation, wisdom and caring support provided throughout my thesis. It was an honour and a pleasure to be his student. His exceptional personality and positive attitude became a source of inspiration and a role model for my professional and personal development. Utmost gratitude is also forwarded to my co-supervisor, Professor Keith Crews for his guidance, fatherly advice, motivation and support throughout my study. It was possible to fulfil my dream of completing PhD due to their invaluable advice, kind response to my interest and support given from beginning of my study.

I also gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance provided by Structural Timber Innovation Company (STIC), University of Technology Sydney (UTS) provided as International Research Scholarship and Centre for Built Infrastructure Research (CBIR), UTS.

I am heartily thankful to Dr. Rijun Shrestha for his encouragement, guidance and unfailing assistance throughout this study. His brilliant and sharp mind combined with his extensive technical knowledge, experience and dedication contributed largely to the success of this project. I would also like to thank Dr. Christophe Gerber for his invaluable assistance during this study. I am really grateful to his technical guidance on experimental works since the beginning of this project.

This thesis would not have been completed without generous assistance and expertise of Dr. Jianchun Li and Dr. Ulrike Dackermann. They have been very helpful throughout this project especially with their expert guidance on the modal testing and experimental modal analysis.

I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Ali Saleh, Dr. Hamid Valipour, Dr. Shami Nejadi, and Dr. Fook Choon Choi for their helping hand on various aspects at different stages of this project. I wish to acknowledge my colleagues, especially those involved in this project such as Zhinus Zabihi, Farzad Moshiri, Nima Khorsandnia and Mulugheta Hailu for providing generous help during this study.

The assistance from the staff in Structures and Material Testing Laboratories at UTS has been very important in completing the tests included in the thesis. I would like to thank Mr. Rami Haddad, Peter Brown, David Dicker and David Hooper. Special thanks go to Peter Brown for his invaluable help in completing experimental modal analysis and testing, and to David Dicker for his expertise in specimen handling. I would like to thank Mr Laurence Stonard and Scott Graham from the Materials Testing Lab for their support in completing the tests. Working in the lab would never have been easy without the assistance provided by all these people.

I wish to thank my family for their love and support to complete my study. My special thanks also go to Manu DD, Subash Dai, Prem Dai and Sunita Vabi, and Dilli Dai for their support and motivation. I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of this study.

Lastly, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my beloved wife, namely, Manju and family, especially my elder brother “Doshi” Raju Dai for their continuous love, assistance and encouragement.

Rajendra Rijal

February 2013

# LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

## **Journal Papers**

Rijal, R., Samali, B., Shrestha, R. & Crews, K., “Dynamic performance of timber-concrete composite beams: Experimental and analytical investigations”, *Journal of Construction and Building Materials*, under review.

Rijal, R., Samali, B., Shrestha, R. & Crews, K., “Dynamic performance of timber floor modules (Timber beams): Experimental and analytical investigations”, *Journal of Construction and Building Materials*, under preparation.

Dackermann, U., Rijal, R., Li, J. & Samali, B., “A novel dynamic-based method for the estimation of the loss of composite action in timber composite systems”, *Journal of Non-destructive Testing and Evaluation International, NDT & E International*, under preparation.

## **Conference Papers**

Rijal, R., Samali, B., Crews, K. & Shrestha, R. (2010), “Dynamic behaviour of timber-concrete composite flooring systems”, *Proceedings, Eleventh World Conference on Timber Engineering, WCTE 2010*, Trentino, Italy, 20-24 June.

Rijal, R., Samali, B. & Crews, K. (2010), “Dynamic performance of timber-concrete composite flooring systems”, *Proceedings, 21<sup>st</sup> Australasian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials, ACMSM 21*, Melbourne, Australia, 7-10 December.

Rijal, R., Samali, B., Shrestha, R., Gerber, C. & Crews, K. (2012), “Dynamic performance of timber flooring systems”, *Proceedings, 22<sup>nd</sup> Australasian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials, ACMSM 22*, Sydney, Australia, 11-14 December.

Dackermann, U., Li, J., Rijal, R. & Samali, B. (2013), “A vibration-based approach for the estimation of the loss of composite action in timber composite systems”, *Proceedings, 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Structural Health Assessment of Timber Structures, SHATIS’13*, Trento, Italy, 4-6 September, to be presented.

## LIST OF NOTATIONS

$\alpha$	damage severity estimator
$\gamma$	shear bond coefficient
$\delta$	mid span deflection
$\delta_k$	damping factor for mode $k$
$\lambda_1$	calibration factor for $LCAI_1$
$\lambda_2$	calibration factor for $LCAI_2$
$\lambda_k$	pole value for mode $k$
$v$	unit impulse velocity response
$\xi, D$	damping ratio
$\rho$	density
$\sigma_x$	standard deviation
$\nu$	poisson's ratio
$\varphi_n$	normalised modal amplitude
$\Psi$	matrix of eigenvectors
$\Psi_n$	original modal amplitudes obtained before mass normalisation
$\omega_{dk}$	damped natural frequency of mode $k$
$\omega_n$	circular natural frequency
$\beta_{ij}$	damage indicator for the DI method
$\phi_i''$	second derivative or curvature of $i^{th}$ mode shape with respect to $x$
$\omega$	frequency variable (Chapter 3)
$*$	complex conjugate (Chapter 3); denotes the damaged state (Chapter 6)
$\chi_m(f)$	magnification function
$D_{max}$	dynamic magnification factor
$\rho_{max}$	maximum harmonic response amplitude
$\bar{\omega}$	forcing frequency
$[m]$	mass matrix
$[\Lambda]$	diagonal matrix of poles
$\{\phi_n\}$	normalised modal vector
$\phi_i$	$i^{th}$ mode shape



$\Delta$	elastic deflection of the system
$\Delta_B$	mid span deflection of the floor beam due to flexure and shear
$\Delta_G$	deflection of the girder at the beam support due to flexure and shear
$\Delta_S$	deflection of the supports such as column or wall due to axial strain
1-D	one-dimensional
2-D	two-dimensional
3-D	three-dimensional
$a$	flexibility coefficient; distance of load point from nearest support (Chapter 3)
$A$	area under $\chi_m^2(f)$
$A_0$	initial amplitude of the flooring system from heel drop test; mass modified stiffness matrix (Chapter 3)
$A_I$	mass modified damping matrix (Chapter 3)
$a_o/g$	acceleration limit
$a_p/g$	predicted acceleration ratio due to walking excitation
$A_r$	area associated with the resonance bandwidth ( $f_n/\sqrt{2} < f < \sqrt{2}f_n$ )
$b$	floor width
$B$	number of bits used to represent a sample in the ADC
$B_c$	width of concrete topping
$B_0, B_I$	force distribution matrices
$c$	damping coefficient
$LCAI_1$	first loss of composite action index
$LCAI_2$	second loss of composite action index
$C_B$	frequency coefficient
$C_c$	critical damping coefficient
$CO_2$	carbon dioxide
$d$	diameter of the shear connector
$D_C$	theoretical full composite deflection
$D_I$	measured partial composite deflection
$D_N$	theoretical fully non-composite deflection
$D_w$	depth of LVL web
$D_{xx}(\tau)$	auto RanDec function
$D_{xy}(\tau)$	cross RanDec function

$E$	modulus of elasticity; efficiency of composite systems (Chapter 2 - Section 2.11); dynamic-based degree of composite action (Chapter 6)
$E_{cj}$	mean MOE of concrete at the appropriate age
$EI$	flexural stiffness
$(EI)_b$	bending stiffness of the floor about an axis parallel to the beams
$(EI)_{ef}$	effective bending stiffness
$(EI)_l$	bending stiffness of the floor about an axis perpendicular to the beams
$E_x$	mean modulus of elasticity of LVL in x-direction
$f(\omega)$	input signal
$F, P$	point load
$f'_b$	characteristic bending strength
$f'_c$	characteristic compression strength parallel to grain
$f'_p$	characteristic compression strength perpendicular to grain
$f'_s$	characteristic shear strength
$f'_{sj}$	characteristic shear strength at joint details
$f'_t$	characteristic tensile strength
$f_1$	fundamental frequency
$f_a$ & $f_b$	natural frequency corresponding to the first, $a$ , and second point, $b$ , of the <i>HBM</i>
$f_b$	mean bending strength
$f_c$	mean compression strength
$f_{cm}$	mean value of the compressive strength of concrete at the relevant age
$f_{damped}$	damped natural frequency
$F_{ij}$	fractional modal strain energy for undamaged beam
$F_{max}$	theoretical maximum Nyquist frequency limit
$f_n$	natural frequency
$f_{n(Exp)}$	experimental natural frequency
$f_{n(FE)}$	natural frequency from FE model
$F_{Nyq}$	nyquist frequency
$F_{samp}$	sampling frequency
$f_t$	mean tensile strength
$f_{undamped}$	undamped natural frequency
$f_v$	mean shear strength

$g$	acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s <sup>2</sup> )
$G$	shear modulus
$g(\omega)$	output signal
$G_{ff}(\omega)$	input auto spectrum
$G_{fg}(\omega)$	cross input-output spectrum
$G_{gg}(\omega)$	output auto spectrum
$H(\omega)$	matrix of FRFs
$H_I(\omega)$	frequency response function
$H_{ij}(\omega)$	FRF between the respond DOF $i$ and reference DOF $j$
$I$	moment of inertia
$i, n, M$	mode number
$k$	stiffness
$k_{I7}$	factor for multiple nailed joints
$K_{serv}$	serviceability limit state stiffness
$K_u$	ultimate limit state stiffness
$L, l$	span
$L_b$	shear-free span between load points
$m$	mass of the floor ; mass per unit length (Chapter 7); mass per unit area (Section 7.1.4)
$M_i$	initial mass of moisture content test piece
$M_o$	dry weight of moisture content test piece
$N$	number of averaged time segments (Chapter 2); number of modes of vibration (Chapter 3); number of accelerometers (Chapter 6)
$n_{40}$	number of first-order modes with natural frequencies up to 40 Hz
$N_{Error}$	natural frequency difference between FE and experimental models
$P_o$	excitation force
$Q_k$	strength of shear connectors
$R_d$	deformation response factor
$r_{ijk}$	residue value for mode $k$
$s_e$	spacing of the shear connectors at the ends of the beam
$S_{eff}$	effective constant spacing of the shear connectors
$s_m$	spacing of the shear connectors in the middle of the beam
$T_c$	thickness of concrete topping

$T_f$	thickness of LVL flange
$T_w$	thickness of LVL web
$Tx(t_i)$	triggering condition applied to time history $x(t)$
$W$	effective weight of the floor
$w$	maximum short-term deflection; uniformly distributed load per unit length (Chapter 7)
$x(k)$	discrete series
$x(t)$	response time history
$y(t)$	response time history
$y_0$	maximum deflection
$y_1 \& y_2$	amplitudes of the response peaks of the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> cycle
$y_n$	amplitude of $n^{th}$ cycle response
$y_{n+m}$	amplitude of the $(n + m)^{th}$ cycle response
$y_w$	weighted average value of the static deflection
$Z_{ij}$	normalised damage indicator

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

ADC	analogue-to-digital converter
BM	bird-mouth
CA	composite action
CMSE	cross-modal strain energy
COMAC	coordinate of modal assurance criterion
CoV	coefficient of variation
DD	damage detection
DI	damage index
DOF	degree of freedom
MOE	modulus of elasticity
EBM	equivalent beam method
EMA	experimental modal analysis
FDPI	frequency domain direct parameter identification
FE	finite element
FEA	finite element analysis
FEM	finite element model
FFT	fast Fourier transform
FRF	frequency response function
FRST	foundation for research science and technology
FWPA	forest and wood products Australia
Glulam	glue laminated timber
HBM	half-power bandwidth method
IRF	impulse response function
LCA	loss of composite action
LCAI	loss of composite action index
LDA	logarithmic decrement analysis
LVDT	linear variable differential transformer
LVL	laminated veneer lumber
MAC	modal assurance criterion
MC	moisture content

MDI	modified damage index
MDOF	multi degree of freedom
MT	modal testing
NDI	non-destructive inspection
NS	normal screw
NZ	New Zealand
Pty Ltd	proprietary limited
R & D	research and development
RanDec	random decrement
RMS	root mean square
SCC	steel-concrete composite
SDOF	single degree of freedom
SLS	serviceability limit state
STIC	structural timber innovation company
TCC	timber-concrete composite
ULS	ultimate limit state
UTS	University of Technology Sydney

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate of Original Authorship .....	i
Acknowledgement .....	iii
List of Publications .....	v
List of Notations .....	vi
List of Acronyms .....	xi
Table of Contents .....	xiii
List of Figures .....	xx
List of Tables .....	xxxv
Abstract .....	xxxix

<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND .....	1
1.1.1 History of TCC Flooring Systems .....	3
1.1.2 Advantages of TCC Flooring Systems .....	3
1.1.3 Importance of Composite Action in the TCC Flooring Systems .....	5
1.2 STRUCTURAL TIMBER INNOVATION COMPANY .....	5
1.3 BASIC VIBRATION TERMINOLOGIES .....	8
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	12
1.5 RESEARCH SCOPE .....	13
1.6 RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE AND CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE .....	14
1.7 LAYOUT OF THE THESIS .....	15
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	21
2.2 TYPE OF DYNAMIC LOADS ON STRUCTURES .....	21
2.3 SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE .....	22
2.3.1 Vibration .....	23
2.4 FLOOR VIBRATION DESIGN CRITERIA .....	24
2.4.1 Acceleration Limit .....	24
2.4.2 Natural Frequency Limit .....	28
2.4.3 Unit Load Deflection Limit .....	31

2.5	NATURAL FREQUENCY .....	32
2.5.1	<i>Simplest Natural Frequency Prediction Analytical Models</i> .....	32
2.6	EVALUATION OF DAMPING .....	33
2.6.1	<i>Damping Coefficients</i> .....	33
2.6.2	<i>Methods to Determine Damping Coefficients</i> .....	35
2.6.2.1	Logarithmic Decrement Analysis .....	35
2.6.2.2	Half-power Bandwidth Method.....	36
2.6.2.3	Equivalent Area Method.....	37
2.6.2.4	Resonant Amplification Method.....	38
2.6.2.5	Random Decrement Technique .....	38
2.7	REMEDIAL MEASURES TO FLOOR VIBRATION PROBLEMS .....	41
2.7.1	<i>Reduction of Effects</i> .....	41
2.7.2	<i>Relocation of Activities</i> .....	41
2.7.3	<i>Increasing Stiffness</i> .....	41
2.7.4	<i>Increasing Damping</i> .....	42
2.7.5	<i>Isolation</i> .....	42
2.8	DYNAMIC TESTS ON FLOORS.....	42
2.8.1	<i>Heel Drop Test</i> .....	43
2.8.2	<i>Impact Hammer Test</i> .....	44
2.8.3	<i>Forced Vibration Test</i> .....	46
2.9	FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING FOR COMPOSITE FLOORING SYSTEMS .....	46
2.10	COMPONENTS AND SPAN OF THE TCC FLOORING SYSTEMS .....	49
2.10.1	<i>Concrete Topping Thickness</i> .....	49
2.10.2	<i>Joist Size and Spacing</i> .....	50
2.10.3	<i>Shear Connector and its Types</i> .....	50
2.10.3.1	Mechanical Connector and its Spacing, and Penetration Depth ..	51
2.10.3.2	Notch Connection.....	55
2.10.3.3	Glue Connection.....	55
2.10.3.4	Combined Connection.....	56
2.10.4	<i>Span</i> .....	56
2.11	EFFICIENCY OF THE COMPOSITE FLOORING SYSTEMS .....	57
2.12	DAMAGE DETECTION .....	58
2.13	SUMMARY AND CONTRIBUTION TO THE CURRENT RESEARCH .....	58



<b>3</b>	<b>DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF TCC FLOORING SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1	PUSH-OUT TEST OF TCC CONNECTIONS - TEST SETUP AND TESTING .....	61
3.1.1	<i>Test Specimens</i> .....	61
3.1.2	<i>Material Properties</i> .....	65
3.1.3	<i>Experimental Setup</i> .....	66
3.1.4	<i>Loading Procedure</i> .....	69
3.1.5	<i>Test Criteria</i> .....	70
3.2	PUSH-OUT TEST OF TCC CONNECTIONS - RESULTS .....	71
3.2.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	71
3.2.2	<i>NS Series with Single Screw</i> .....	71
3.2.3	<i>NS Series with Four Screws (4NS50, 4NS100 and 4NS150 Connections)</i> .....	72
3.2.4	<i>SFS Series</i> .....	73
3.2.5	<i>Bird-mouth Notched Connection Series</i> .....	74
3.2.6	<i>Trapezoidal Notched Connection Series</i> .....	75
3.2.7	<i>Failure Mode</i> .....	76
3.2.7.1	<i>NS Series (with One and Four Screws) and SFS Series</i> .....	76
3.2.7.2	<i>T (Trapezoidal Notched) and B (Bird-mouth Notched) Series</i> .....	81
3.2.8	<i>Characteristic Values for Four Connection Types</i> .....	83
3.2.9	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	85
3.3	MODAL TESTING AND EXPERIMENTAL MODAL ANALYSIS PROCEDURE .....	86
3.3.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	86
3.3.2	<i>Signal Processing</i> .....	86
3.3.3	<i>Frequency Response Function</i> .....	90
3.3.4	<i>Modal Parameter Estimation</i> .....	92
3.4	DYNAMIC TEST OF TCC BEAMS - TEST SETUP AND TESTING .....	95
3.4.1	<i>Test Program</i> .....	95
3.4.2	<i>Geometry of the TCC Beams</i> .....	96
3.4.3	<i>Types of Shear Connector and their Configuration in the Beams</i> .....	97
3.4.4	<i>Fabrication of Specimens</i> .....	99
3.4.5	<i>Material Properties</i> .....	100
3.4.5.1	<i>LVL Joist and TCC Beam</i> .....	100
3.4.5.2	<i>Concrete</i> .....	102
3.4.5.3	<i>Mechanical Connectors</i> .....	103

3.4.6	<i>Test Setup</i> .....	104
3.4.7	<i>Instrumentation and Testing Procedure</i> .....	105
3.4.8	<i>Data Processing and Analysis</i> .....	109
3.5	DYNAMIC TEST OF TCC BEAMS - RESULTS .....	109
3.5.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	109
3.5.2	<i>Modal Parameters</i> .....	110
3.5.3	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	112
3.6	LONG-TERM DYNAMIC TEST OF TCC BEAMS .....	114
3.6.1	<i>Dynamic Test 1</i> .....	115
3.6.2	<i>Dynamic Test 2</i> .....	117
3.6.3	<i>Dynamic Test 3</i> .....	118
3.6.4	<i>Test Results</i> .....	119
3.6.5	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	120
<b>4</b>	<b>DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF TIMBER FLOOR MODULES .....</b>	<b>125</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	125
4.2	TEST PROGRAM.....	125
4.3	GEOMETRY OF THE TIMBER BEAMS .....	126
4.4	MATERIAL PROPERTIES .....	127
4.4.1	<i>LVL Timber</i> .....	127
4.4.2	<i>Mechanical Connectors</i> .....	129
4.5	TEST SETUP.....	129
4.6	INSTRUMENTATION AND TESTING PROCEDURE .....	130
4.7	DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS .....	134
4.8	TIMBER FLOOR MODULES - RESULTS.....	135
4.8.1	<i>Modal Parameters</i> .....	135
4.9	CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	137
<b>5</b>	<b>FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING.....</b>	<b>141</b>
5.1	TCC BEAMS.....	141
5.1.1	<i>Introduction</i> .....	141
5.1.2	<i>Layered Model for TCC Beams</i> .....	142
5.1.2.1	<i>Element Types</i> .....	143
5.1.3	<i>Materials Properties</i> .....	146

5.1.4	<i>Modelling Approaches and Assumptions</i> .....	147
5.1.4.1	Boundary Conditions .....	147
5.1.4.2	Mesh Size.....	148
5.1.4.3	Dynamic Characteristics of the Beams .....	150
5.1.4.4	Correlation between FE and Experimental Results .....	152
5.1.5	<i>Calibration of FE Model</i> .....	154
5.1.6	<i>Validation of FE Model against Static Test</i> .....	155
5.1.7	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	158
5.2	TIMBER FLOOR MODULES .....	160
5.2.1	<i>Geometric Properties of the Models</i> .....	160
5.2.2	<i>Element Types</i> .....	161
5.2.3	<i>Material Properties</i> .....	161
5.2.4	<i>Boundary Conditions</i> .....	162
5.2.5	<i>Mesh Size</i> .....	163
5.2.6	<i>Modal Parameters of the Beams</i> .....	165
5.2.7	<i>Correlation between FE and Experimental Results</i> .....	166
5.2.8	<i>Validation of FE Models against Static Test Results</i> .....	170
5.2.9	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	171
<b>6</b>	<b>COMPOSITE ACTION INVESTIGATION USING DYNAMIC-BASED METHOD</b> .....	<b>175</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	175
6.2	BACKGROUND.....	175
6.2.1	<i>Delamination Detection</i> .....	176
6.2.2	<i>Dynamic-based Damage Detection</i> .....	177
6.2.2.1	Modal Strain Energy-Based Damage Detection.....	178
6.2.2.2	The Damage Index Method .....	180
6.3	PROPOSED METHODOLOGY FOR DYNAMIC-BASED COMPOSITE ACTION IDENTIFICATION .....	183
6.3.1	<i>Mode Shapes and Damage Severity Index <math>\alpha</math></i> .....	184
6.3.2	<i>Loss of Composite Action Indices <math>LCAI_1</math> and <math>LCAI_2</math></i> .....	185
6.3.2.1	$LCAI_1$ .....	185
6.3.2.2	$LCAI_2$ .....	186
6.3.3	<i>Dynamic-based Degree of Composite Action</i> .....	187

6.3.4	<i>Methodologies' Flow Chart</i> .....	188
6.4	NUMERICAL ANALYSIS .....	191
6.4.1	<i>Numerical Model</i> .....	192
6.4.2	<i>Element Types</i> .....	193
6.4.3	<i>Flange-web Interface</i> .....	194
6.4.4	<i>Material Properties</i> .....	195
6.4.5	<i>Mesh Size</i> .....	196
6.5	RESULTS OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS .....	198
6.5.1	<i>Modal Parameters</i> .....	198
6.5.2	<i>Indices <math>LCAI_1</math> and <math>LCAI_2</math></i> .....	200
6.5.3	<i>Loss of Composite Action</i> .....	202
6.6	EXPLORATION .....	203
6.6.1	<i>Study of Mode Shape Reconstruction</i> .....	203
6.6.2	<i>Study on the Effect of the Shear Connection Plane to the Neutral Axis..</i>	206
6.7	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION.....	208
6.7.1	<i>Experimental Test Structure</i> .....	208
6.7.2	<i>Fabrication of Test Structure</i> .....	211
6.7.3	<i>Material Properties</i> .....	212
6.7.4	<i>Instrumentation and Testing Procedure</i> .....	213
6.7.5	<i>Data Processing and Analysis</i> .....	216
6.8	RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION.....	217
6.8.1	<i>Modal Parameters</i> .....	217
6.8.2	<i>Indices <math>LCAI_1</math> and <math>LCAI_2</math></i> .....	220
6.8.3	<i>Loss of Composite Action</i> .....	221
6.8.4	<i>Study of Mode Shape Reconstruction</i> .....	223
6.9	CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	224
<b>7</b>	<b>NATURAL FREQUENCY PREDICTION .....</b>	<b>229</b>
7.1	SIMPLIFIED NATURAL FREQUENCY PREDICTION MODELS.....	229
7.1.1	<i>Wyatt (1989)</i> .....	229
7.1.2	<i>Allen (1990)</i> .....	232
7.1.3	<i>Murray, Allen &amp; Ungar (2003)</i> .....	232
7.1.4	<i>Eurocode 5 (2008)</i> .....	233
7.2	NATURAL FREQUENCY PREDICTION OF THE TCC BEAMS .....	234

7.2.1	<i>Scope</i> .....	234
7.2.2	<i>Fundamental Frequency Prediction</i> .....	234
7.2.3	<i>Frequency Prediction of Beams- 3 and 4 using Derived Stiffness</i> .....	237
7.2.4	<i>Sensitivity Analysis</i> .....	239
7.2.5	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	240
7.3	TIMBER FLOOR MODULES .....	241
7.3.1	<i>Fundamental Frequency Prediction</i> .....	241
7.3.2	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	243
7.4	CONCLUSIONS .....	244
<b>8</b>	<b>PARAMETRIC ANALYSES .....</b>	<b>247</b>
8.1	TCC BEAM.....	247
8.1.1	<i>Beam-1 with SFS Screw</i> .....	249
8.1.2	<i>Beam-2 with Normal Screw</i> .....	253
8.1.3	<i>Beam-3 with Six Bird-mouth Notches</i> .....	258
8.1.4	<i>Beam-4 with Four Bird-mouth Notches</i> .....	262
8.2	TIMBER FLOOR MODULE.....	267
8.2.1	<i>Parametric Study on Floors</i> .....	268
8.2.1.1	Using Three Identical Timber Floor Modules .....	268
8.2.1.2	Using Six Identical Timber Floor Modules .....	271
8.2.1.3	Square Floors .....	274
8.2.2	<i>Span Table using Analytical Model</i> .....	277
8.3	CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	280
<b>9</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>285</b>
9.1	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	285
9.1.1	<i>Dynamic Performance of TCC Flooring Systems</i> .....	286
9.1.2	<i>Dynamic Performance of Timber Floor Modules</i> .....	287
9.1.3	<i>Dynamic-based Composite Action Identification</i> .....	288
9.1.4	<i>Natural Frequency Prediction using Analytical Models</i> .....	290
9.2	RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE WORKS .....	291
	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>295</b>
	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>309</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Typical TCC flooring system (Kolb 2008).....	2
Figure 1.2 A summary of STIC's objectives. ....	7
Figure 1.3 An overview of experimental work. ....	7
Figure 1.4 Types of dynamic loading (Murray, Allen & Ungar 2003).....	9
Figure 1.5 Transient vibration with viscous damping (Murray, Allen & Ungar 2003)....	9
Figure 1.6 Typical beam and floor system mode shapes (Murray, Allen & Ungar 2003). .....	10
Figure 1.7 Frequency spectrum (Murray, Allen & Ungar 2003). ....	11
Figure 2.1 Floor acceleration due to a cyclic force (Allen & Pernica 1998). ....	24
Figure 2.2 Reiher-Meister scale. ....	25
Figure 2.3 Modified Reiher-Meister scale (Naeim 1991).....	26
Figure 2.4 Acceleration limit for human comfort for vibrations caused by human activities (Allen & Murray 1993; ISO 2631-2 1989).....	27
Figure 2.5 Relationship between $a$ and $b$ (Eurocode 5 2008).....	31
Figure 2.6 Design criterion for light-frame floors (Allen & Pernica 1998).....	31
Figure 2.7 A typical free vibration response (Saidi et al. 2006). ....	36
Figure 2.8 Half-power bandwidth (Chopra 2005). ....	36
Figure 2.9 A typical frequency-response curve (Clough & Penzien 1993). ....	38
Figure 2.10 Basic concept of the RanDec technique (Rodrigues & Brincker 2005). ....	40
Figure 2.11 A stiffening technique for steel joists and beams (Allen & Pernica 1998). ....	42

Figure 2.12 Performance of heel drop test and heel drop time history (Blakeborough & Williams 2003).....	44
Figure 2.13 A typical impact hammer.....	45
Figure 2.14 Types of hammer tip of a typical hammer.....	46
Figure 2.15 FEM model of a TCC beam (Linden 1999).....	48
Figure 2.16 The finite element of the SCC beams (Fragiacomo et al. 2004). ....	48
Figure 2.17 Shear force per unit length-slip law (Ollgard, Slutter & Fischer 1971). ....	48
Figure 2.18 Proposed 10 types of shear connectors in two groups (Ahmadi & Saka 1993). ....	52
Figure 2.19 Different types of connector (mm). ....	52
Figure 2.20 (a) Continuous steel mesh, (b) Folded steel plate, (c) Steel dowel with flanges (Lukaszewska, Johnsson & Fragiaco 2008). ....	53
Figure 2.21 Prefabricated concrete slabs with inserted connectors: (a) Continuous steel mesh, (b) Folded steel plate, (c) Steel dowel with flanges (Lukaszewska, Johnsson & Fragiaco 2008). ....	53
Figure 2.22 Different types of mechanical connectors. ....	54
Figure 2.23 Common types of notch connection. ....	55
Figure 2.24 Glue connection. ....	55
Figure 2.25 A typical combination of notch and mechanical connection. ....	56
Figure 3.1 A typical geometry and components of NS connection (mm). ....	63
Figure 3.2 A typical geometry and components of 4-NS50 connection (mm). ....	63
Figure 3.3 A typical geometry and components of 4-NS100 connection (mm). ....	63
Figure 3.4 A typical geometry and components of 4-NS150 connection (mm). ....	64

Figure 3.5 A typical geometry and components of SFS series connection (mm).....	64
Figure 3.6 A typical geometry and components of BM series connection (mm). ....	64
Figure 3.7 Geometric details of BM notched connections (mm).....	65
Figure 3.8 Geometric details of trapezoidal notched connections (mm). ....	65
Figure 3.9 Push-out test setup of a test specimen. ....	68
Figure 3.10 Test environment showing the universal testing machine.....	69
Figure 3.11 Loading regime as per EN 26891 (BSI 1991). ....	70
Figure 3.12 Load-slip plot for NS series with single screw.....	72
Figure 3.13 Load-slip plot for NS series with four screws. ....	73
Figure 3.14 Load-slip plot for SFS series. ....	74
Figure 3.15 Typical load-slip behaviour for bird-mouth connection series.....	75
Figure 3.16 Typical load-slip behaviour for trapezoidal notched connection series. ....	76
Figure 3.17 Failed NS series with single screw (NS) specimens.....	77
Figure 3.18 Failed NS series with four screws (4NS50) specimens. ....	78
Figure 3.19 Failed NS series with four screws (4NS100) specimens. ....	78
Figure 3.20 Failed NS series with four screws (4NS150) specimens. ....	78
Figure 3.21 Failed SFS1-5 series specimens. ....	79
Figure 3.22 Failed SFS6-10 series specimens. ....	80
Figure 3.23 Failed T2 series specimens. ....	81
Figure 3.24 Failed B2 series specimens.....	82
Figure 3.25 Digital signal processing (Abdul Rahman 1999). ....	87



Figure 3.26 Aliasing phenomenon (Allemang 1999).....	88
Figure 3.27 Discrete Fourier transform concept (Allemang 1999).....	89
Figure 3.28 Windowing functions. ....	90
Figure 3.29 Transfer function method (Agilent Technologies 2000). ....	90
Figure 3.30 FRF graphs in (a) rectangular and (b) polar coordinates for a SDOF system. .....	92
Figure 3.31 Modal parameter estimation methods (Schwarz & Richardson 1999).....	93
Figure 3.32 MDOF-SDOF superposition (Allemang 1999). ....	94
Figure 3.33 Geometry of the TCC beams. ....	96
Figure 3.34 SFS screws used as shear connector in Beam-1. ....	98
Figure 3.35 Normal screws used as shear connector in Beam-2. ....	98
Figure 3.36 Bird-mouth notch with coach screw used in Beams- 3 and 4.....	99
Figure 3.37 Fabrication of TCC beams.....	100
Figure 3.38 Four point bending test setup for LVL beam. ....	101
Figure 3.39 Detail of the mechanical connectors.....	104
Figure 3.40 Experimental setup for the TCC beams (mm).....	105
Figure 3.41 An instalment of metal plates. ....	107
Figure 3.42 Configuration of the accelerometers on the beam. ....	107
Figure 3.43 Test instruments.....	108
Figure 3.44 The first three flexural mode shapes and their node points. ....	108
Figure 3.45 MT and EMA configuration. ....	109
Figure 3.46 FRF summation function of the TCC Beam-1. ....	110

Figure 3.47 First three flexural mode shapes of Beam-1.....	112
Figure 3.48 Beams under quasi-permanent loads (Hailu et al. 2012).....	115
Figure 3.49 Dynamic test 1 setup and instrumentation.....	116
Figure 3.50 Accelerometer model PCB 356A08. ....	116
Figure 3.51 Dynamic test 2 setup and instrumentation.....	117
Figure 3.52 Test instruments.....	118
Figure 4.1 Dimensions of the 6 m span timber beams (mm).....	126
Figure 4.2 Dimensions of the 8 m span timber beams (mm).....	127
Figure 4.3. The dimensions of the screw used in the timber beams (mm). ....	129
Figure 4.4 A schematic diagram of setup for 6 m span timber beam (mm). ....	130
Figure 4.5 A schematic diagram of setup for 8 m span timber beam (mm). ....	130
Figure 4.6 The arrangement of the accelerometers along the span.....	131
Figure 4.7 Location of the accelerometers at different sections of the beams.....	131
Figure 4.8 Test instruments.....	133
Figure 4.9 Instrumentation for a 6 m span timber beam. ....	133
Figure 4.10 First three flexural mode shapes and their node points. ....	134
Figure 4.11 MT and EMA configuration. ....	134
Figure 4.12 First three flexural mode shapes of the timber beam U8-01. ....	137
Figure 5.1 Cross-sectional view of the TCC beams.....	143
Figure 5.2 Geometric properties of PLANE42 (ANSYS 2011). ....	143
Figure 5.3 A schematic diagram of the TCC beams showing contact and target surfaces. .....	144

Figure 5.4 Geometric properties of CONTA171 (ANSYS 2011).....	144
Figure 5.5 Geometric properties of TARGE169 (ANSYS 2011).....	145
Figure 5.6 Geometric properties of COMBIN39 (ANSYS 2011). ....	146
Figure 5.7 Experimental setup for the TCC beams (mm).....	148
Figure 5.8 A sensitivity analysis for mesh density for static analysis. ....	149
Figure 5.9 A sensitivity analysis for mesh density for dynamic analysis. ....	149
Figure 5.10 Nodes and elements for FE model of the TCC beams.....	150
Figure 5.11 First three flexural mode shapes for the FE Beam-1 model. ....	151
Figure 5.12 $NError$ values of four TCC beams.....	153
Figure 5.13 Serviceability stiffness ( $K_{serv}$ ) of notched connections (Gerber & Crews 2011). ....	155
Figure 5.14 $NError$ values of TCC Beams- 3 and 4 after calibration. ....	155
Figure 5.15 Validation of Beam-1 FE model with static deflection. ....	156
Figure 5.16 Validation of Beam-2 FE model with static deflection. ....	157
Figure 5.17 Validation of Beam-3 FE model with static deflection. ....	157
Figure 5.18 Validation of Beam-4 FE model with static deflection. ....	157
Figure 5.19 Dimensions of the 6 m span timber beams (mm).....	160
Figure 5.20 Dimensions of the 8 m span timber beams (mm). ....	160
Figure 5.21 Geometric properties of SOLID45 (ANSYS 2011).....	161
Figure 5.22 Experimental setup for 6 m span beam (mm).....	162
Figure 5.23 A sensitivity analysis for mesh density for static analysis of 6 m span beam. .....	163

Figure 5.24 A sensitivity analysis for mesh density for dynamic analysis of 6 m span beam. ....	164
Figure 5.25 Nodes and elements for FE model of 6 m span beam. ....	164
Figure 5.26 First three flexural mode shapes of 8 m span beam FE model. ....	165
Figure 5.27 <i>NError</i> values of 6 and 8 m span beams. ....	167
Figure 5.28 <i>NError</i> values of (a) 6 m and (b) 8 m span beam for $\pm 5\%$ and $\pm 10\%$ of $E_x$ . .....	169
Figure 5.29 Validation of 6 m beam FE model with static deflection. ....	170
Figure 5.30 Validation of 8 m beam FE model with static deflection. ....	170
Figure 6.1 Schematic diagram showing subdivisions of a beam (Cornwell, Doebling & Farrar 1999). ....	181
Figure 6.2 Severity estimator $\alpha_j$ derived from first mode of numerical beam simulations. Damage was situated at location ‘4’ with (a) light, (b) medium and (c) severe damage severity (Dackermann 2010). ....	183
Figure 6.3 Severity estimator $\alpha$ derived from first mode of an experimental composite beam. ....	185
Figure 6.4 Case1: (a) $\alpha$ values, (b) $LCAI_1$ value and (c) $LCAI_2$ value. ....	186
Figure 6.5 Case2: (a) $\alpha$ values, (b) $LCAI_1$ value and (c) $LCAI_2$ value. ....	187
Figure 6.6 Static-based degree of composite action and (b) loss of composite action of FE composite beam structure with different number of screw connections. ....	188
Figure 6.7 Flow chart for first composite action method ( $LCAI_1$ ). ....	190
Figure 6.8 Flow chart for second composite action method ( $LCAI_2$ ). ....	191
Figure 6.9 A numerical beam model with three screw connections (mm). ....	192

Figure 6.10 Cross-section and dimensions of the numerical timber beam models (mm). .....	192
Figure 6.11 Geometric properties of PLANE42 (ANSYS 2011). ....	193
Figure 6.12 Schematic diagram of the composite beam showing contact and target surfaces.....	194
Figure 6.13 Geometric properties of CONTA171 (ANSYS 2011).....	195
Figure 6.14 Geometric properties of TARGE169 (ANSYS 2011).....	195
Figure 6.15 Mesh density sensitivity study of numerical timber composite beam for static analysis. ....	197
Figure 6.16 Mesh density sensitivity study of numerical timber composite beam for modal analysis.....	197
Figure 6.17 First five flexural mode shapes of timber composite beam from FE model with ‘33’ screw connections.....	200
Figure 6.18 $LCAI_1$ values of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5. ....	201
Figure 6.19 $LCAI_2$ values of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5. ....	201
Figure 6.20 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_1$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	203
Figure 6.21 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_2$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	203
Figure 6.22 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_1$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5 from reconstructed 31-point mode shapes. ....	205

Figure 6.23 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_2$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5 from reconstructed 31-point mode shapes. ....	206
Figure 6.24 Five different cross-sectional dimensions of investigated timber composite beam structures (mm).....	207
Figure 6.25 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_1$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam of Case 2 for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	208
Figure 6.26 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_2$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam of Case 2 for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	208
Figure 6.27 Experimental test setup of laboratory timber composite beam (mm). ....	209
Figure 6.28 Cross-sectional dimensions of laboratory timber composite beam (mm). ....	209
Figure 6.29 Dimensions of the wood screw used to connect the elements of the experimental timber composite beam (mm). ....	210
Figure 6.30 Setup of first experimental test with ‘3’ screws (mm). ....	211
Figure 6.31 Fabrication of the experimental timber composite beam. ....	212
Figure 6.32 Arrangement of the accelerometers along the span at $1/6^{\text{th}}$ , $2/6^{\text{th}}$ , $3/6^{\text{th}}$ , $4/6^{\text{th}}$ and $5/6^{\text{th}}$ span. ....	214
Figure 6.33 Location of accelerometers at the cross-section. ....	214
Figure 6.34 Dynamic test equipment. ....	215
Figure 6.35 Instrumentation of the experimental timber composite beam. ....	216
Figure 6.36 MT and EMA configuration. ....	217
Figure 6.37 Mode shapes of first five flexural modes of the experimental timber composite beam with ‘33’ screws. ....	219

Figure 6.38 $LCAI_1$ values of experimental timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	220
Figure 6.39 $LCAI_2$ values of experimental timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	221
Figure 6.40 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_1$ and static-based $LCA$ of experimental timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	222
Figure 6.41 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_2$ and static-based $LCA$ of numerical timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5.....	222
Figure 6.42 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_1$ and static-based $LCA$ of experimental timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5 from reconstructed 31-point mode shapes. ....	223
Figure 6.43 Dynamically derived $LCA$ based on $LCAI_2$ and static-based $LCA$ of experimental timber composite beam for (a) modes 1 to 3 and (b) modes 1 to 5 from reconstructed 31-point mode shapes. ....	224
Figure 7.1 Frequency factor, $C_B$ for continuous beams (Wyatt 1989).....	231
Figure 7.2. Correlation of predicted values and test results of TCC beams.....	237
Figure 7.3 Serviceability stiffness ( $K_{serv}$ ) of notched connections (Gerber & Crews 2011). ....	238
Figure 7.4 Correlation of predicted values and test results of TCC Beams- 3 and 4....	239
Figure 7.5 A typical sensitivity analysis on MOE of LVL joist of Beam-3 using 2 <sup>nd</sup> Wyatt (1989) model. ....	240
Figure 7.6 A summary of sensitivity analysis on 6 m span timber beam. ....	243
Figure 7.7 A summary of sensitivity analysis on 8 m span timber beam. ....	243
Figure 8.1 Parametric study on cross-section of the TCC beams (mm). ....	248
Figure 8.2 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 25 mm thick concrete topping. ....	249

Figure 8.3 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 50 mm thick concrete topping. ....	250
Figure 8.4 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 75 mm thick concrete topping. ....	250
Figure 8.5 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 100 mm thick concrete topping. ....	251
Figure 8.6 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 125 mm thick concrete topping. ....	251
Figure 8.7 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 150 mm thick concrete topping. ....	252
Figure 8.8 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 175 mm thick concrete topping. ....	252
Figure 8.9 A span table of TCC Beam-1 with 200 mm thick concrete topping. ....	253
Figure 8.10 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 25 mm thick concrete topping. ....	254
Figure 8.11 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 50 mm thick concrete topping. ....	254
Figure 8.12 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 175 mm thick concrete topping. ....	255
Figure 8.13 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 100 mm thick concrete topping. ....	255
Figure 8.14 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 125 mm thick concrete topping. ....	256
Figure 8.15 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 150 mm thick concrete topping. ....	256
Figure 8.16 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 175 mm thick concrete topping. ....	257
Figure 8.17 A span table of TCC Beam-2 with 200 mm thick concrete topping. ....	257
Figure 8.18 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 25 mm thick concrete topping. ....	258
Figure 8.19 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 50 mm thick concrete topping. ....	259
Figure 8.20 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 75 mm thick concrete topping. ....	259
Figure 8.21 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 100 mm thick concrete topping. ....	260
Figure 8.22 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 125 mm thick concrete topping. ....	260
Figure 8.23 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 150 mm thick concrete topping. ....	261



Figure 8.24 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 175 mm thick concrete topping. ....	261
Figure 8.25 A span table of TCC Beam-3 with 200 mm thick concrete topping. ....	262
Figure 8.26 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 25 mm thick concrete topping. ....	263
Figure 8.27 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 50 mm thick concrete topping. ....	263
Figure 8.28 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 75 mm thick concrete topping. ....	264
Figure 8.29 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 100 mm thick concrete topping. ....	264
Figure 8.30 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 125 mm thick concrete topping. ....	265
Figure 8.31 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 150 mm thick concrete topping. ....	265
Figure 8.32 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 175 mm thick concrete topping. ....	266
Figure 8.33 A span table of TCC Beam-4 with 200 mm thick concrete topping. ....	266
Figure 8.34 Cross-sectional dimensions of timber floor modules (mm). ....	267
Figure 8.35 A FE model of 8.0 x 1.8 m floor. ....	268
Figure 8.36 First three flexural mode shapes of 6.0 x 1.8 m floor. ....	270
Figure 8.37 Fundamental deformed shape of 8.0 x 1.8 m floor with pin support along four edges. ....	270
Figure 8.38 A FE model of 6.0 x 3.6 m floor. ....	271
Figure 8.39 Two deformed shapes of 6.0 x 3.6 m floor corresponding to the first two natural frequencies. ....	272
Figure 8.40 Two deformed shapes of 6.0 x 3.6 m floor corresponding to the third and fourth natural frequencies. ....	273
Figure 8.41 A FE model of 6 x 6 m floor. ....	274
Figure 8.42 Two deformed shapes of 6 x 6 m floor corresponding to the first two natural frequencies. ....	275

Figure 8.43 Two deformed shapes of 6 x 6 m floor corresponding to the third and fourth natural frequencies. ....	276
Figure 8.44 A cross-sectional geometry for the parametric study (mm). ....	277
Figure 8.45 A span table of 8 m span timber floor module with 35 mm thick flanges. ....	278
Figure 8.46 A span table of 8 m span timber floor module with 45 mm thick flanges. ....	278
Figure 8.47 A span table of 8 m span timber floor module with 63 mm thick flanges. ....	279
Figure 8.48 A span table of 8 m span timber floor module with 75 mm thick flanges. ....	279
Figure A.1 Load-slip behaviour of bird-mouth notched B2 series specimens. ....	315
Figure A.2 Load-slip behaviour of bird-mouth notched B3 series specimens. ....	315
Figure A.3 Load-slip behaviour of bird-mouth notched B4 series specimens. ....	316
Figure A.4 Load-slip behaviour of bird-mouth notched B5 series specimens. ....	316
Figure A.5 Load-slip behaviour of trapezoidal notched T1 series specimens. ....	317
Figure A.6 Load-slip behaviour of trapezoidal notched T2 series specimens. ....	317
Figure A.7 Load-slip behaviour of trapezoidal notched T3 series specimens. ....	318
Figure A.8 Load-slip behaviour of trapezoidal notched T4 series specimens. ....	318
Figure A.9 Load-slip behaviour of trapezoidal notched T5 series specimens. ....	319
Figure A.10 Response of TCC Beam-1 measured at mid span of the beam using accelerometer along centre line of concrete topping in time domain. ....	320
Figure A.11 Response of TCC Beam-1 measured at 1/3rd span of the beam using accelerometer along centre line of concrete topping in time domain. ....	321
Figure B.1 Response of timber beam, L6-01, measured at mid span of the beam using accelerometer along centre line of top flange in time domain. ....	322

Figure B.2 Response of timber beam, L6-01, measured at 1/3rd span of the beam using accelerometer along centre line of top flange in time domain. ....	323
Figure C.1 First two flexural mode shapes of timber composite beam from FE models with different number of screw connections. ....	326
Figure C.2 Third and fourth flexural mode shapes of timber composite beam from FE models with different number of screw connections. ....	327
Figure C.3 Fifth flexural mode shape of timber composite beam from FE models with different number of screw connections. ....	328
Figure C.4 $\alpha$ values for ‘3’ screws of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	329
Figure C.5 $\alpha$ values for ‘5’ screws of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	330
Figure C.6 $\alpha$ values for ‘9’ screws of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	331
Figure C.7 $\alpha$ values for ‘17’ screws of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	332
Figure C.8 $LCAI_1$ values for first five flexural modes (for ‘3’, ‘5’, ‘9’ and ‘17’ number of screws) of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	333
Figure C.9 $LCAI_2$ values for first five flexural modes (for ‘3’, ‘5’, ‘9’ and ‘17’ number of screws) of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). ....	334

Figure C.10 $LCAI_1$ and $LCAI_2$ values for flexural modes (a) and (c) 1 to 3, (b) and (d) 1 to 5 (for ‘3’, ‘5’, ‘9’ and ‘17’ number of screws) of numerical timber composite beam models derived from 7-point mode shape vectors (7P) and reconstructed 31-point mode shape vectors (31P). .....	335
Figure C.11 Loss of composite action of a timber beam for ‘case 3’ derived from FE models. ....	336
Figure C.12 Loss of composite action of a timber beam for ‘case 4’ derived from FE models. ....	336
Figure C.13 Loss of composite action of a timber beam for ‘case 5’ derived from FE models. ....	337
Figure C.14 First flexural mode shape of the experimental timber composite beam with different number of screws. ....	337
Figure C.15 Second and third flexural mode shapes of the experimental timber composite beam with different number of screws. ....	338
Figure C.16 Fourth and fifth flexural mode shapes of the experimental timber composite beam with different number of screws. ....	339

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Frequency response function formulations .....	12
Table 2.1 Recommended values of parameters ( $P_o$ , $\xi$ and $a_o/g$ ) .....	28
Table 2.2 Acceleration limits .....	28
Table 2.3 Minimum floor natural frequencies (Hz) .....	30
Table 3.1 A summary of NS and SFS series connections* .....	62
Table 3.2 Mean compressive strength of concrete for notched connection series .....	66
Table 3.3 Characteristic results for NS and SFS series connections .....	84
Table 3.4 Characteristic results for NS series connections with four screws .....	84
Table 3.5 Characteristic results for BM and Trapezoidal notched connection series .....	84
Table 3.6 A summary of the tested TCC beams .....	95
Table 3.7 LVL material properties .....	101
Table 3.8 Material properties of LVL joists and TCC beams (Vu Lam 2010) .....	102
Table 3.9 Summary of concrete properties for tested TCC beams .....	103
Table 3.10 First three natural frequencies and corresponding damping ratios of the TCC beams .....	112
Table 3.11 Quasi-permanent load on test beams .....	114
Table 3.12 A summary of climate data and moisture content on the day of different tests .....	120
Table 3.13 A summary of fundamental frequency of TCC beams .....	120
Table 4.1 A summary of nomenclature of timber beams .....	125

Table 4.2 Material properties of LVL timber ( <i>Courtesy of Zhinus Zabihi as part of a concurrent PhD study</i> ) .....	128
Table 4.3 Moisture content of the beams .....	128
Table 4.4 A summary of test results of the 6 and 8 m span timber beams .....	136
Table 5.1 Material properties of LVL joist and concrete topping of TCC beams .....	147
Table 5.2 Characteristics results for different connection systems in the TCC beams.	147
Table 5.3 Description of mode shape.....	151
Table 5.4 Experimental and FE natural frequencies (Hz) of the TCC beams .....	151
Table 5.5 Correlation between FEM and experimental results of TCC beams .....	153
Table 5.6 Correlation between calibrated FEM and experimental results of Beams- 3 and 4.....	154
Table 5.7 Material properties of LVL components of timber beams.....	162
Table 5.8 Description of mode shapes .....	165
Table 5.9 Experimental and FE natural frequencies (Hz) of the beams .....	165
Table 5.10 Summary of results from FE model and comparison with test results .....	166
Table 5.11 Correlation between FE model with “ $\pm 5\%$ of $E_x$ ” and test results of 6 m beam.....	168
Table 5.12 Correlation between FE model with “ $\pm 10\%$ of $E_x$ ” and test results of 8 m beam.....	169
Table 6.1 A summary of different screw configurations .....	192
Table 6.2 Material properties of LVL components of composite beam used for FE modelling .....	196

Table 6.3	Natural frequencies of numerical and experimental composite beam structures with different number of connection screws .....	198
Table 6.4	Correlation between numerical and experimental natural frequencies (error in %).....	199
Table 6.5	Number of screw connections and their spacing for different composite test stages .....	210
Table 6.6	Material properties of LVL timber elements ( <i>Courtesy of Zhinus Zabihi as part of a concurrent PhD study</i> ) .....	213
Table 6.7	Natural frequencies of the first five flexural modes of the experimental timber composite beam for different screw connection stages .....	218
Table 6.8	Damping ratios of the first five flexural modes of the experimental timber composite beam for different screw connection stages.....	218
Table 7.1	Values of $C_B$ for a single span.....	231
Table 7.2	A summary of material properties of concrete and LVL timber.....	235
Table 7.3	A summary of connection stiffness and deflection of TCC beams.....	235
Table 7.4	A summary of correlation of predicted values against test results .....	236
Table 7.5	Correlation between predicted values against test results of Beams- 3 and 4 .....	238
Table 7.6	A summary of the parameters used to predict the fundamental frequency...	241
Table 7.7	A summary and comparison of the predicted values against the test results	242
Table 8.1	Natural frequencies of the floors.....	269
Table 8.2	Natural frequencies of the floors.....	272
Table 8.3	Natural frequencies of the floors.....	275
Table A.1	Test data for compressive strength of concrete used in NS and SFS series.	309

Table A.2a Test data for MOE of concrete used in NS and SFS series.....	309
Table A.2b Test data for MOE of concrete used in NS and SFS series .....	310
Table A.3 Summary of push-out test results for NS and SFS Series .....	311
Table A.4 Summary of push-out test results for bird-mouth notched connection series.....	312
Table A.5 Summary of push-out test results for trapezoidal notched connection series.....	313
Table A.6 Cylinder compression test data of concrete of TCC beams .....	314
Table A.7 Summary of natural frequencies and damping ratios of TCC beams .....	314
Table C.1 Natural frequencies of an experimental timber beam .....	324
Table C.2 Corresponding damping ratios, $\xi$ (%) of an experimental timber beam .....	325



## ABSTRACT

In recent years, there has been an increasing trend in Australia and New Zealand towards the use of long-span timber and timber-concrete composite (TCC) flooring systems for the construction of multi-storey timber buildings. The popularity of these flooring systems is because of their low cost, easy construction and the use of environmentally sustainable materials. Due to their light-weight, such long-span floors are however highly susceptible to vibrations induced by service loads. Although long-span timber and TCC flooring systems can easily be designed to resist the static loads using currently available design guidelines, it is crucial to also investigate the dynamic behaviour of these floors as the occupant discomfort due to excessive vibration may govern the design. Moreover, many structural failures are caused by dynamic interactions due to resonances, which highlight the importance of investigating the dynamic behaviour of flooring systems. To date, there are very limited design guidelines to address the vibration in long-span floors, especially composite floors, due to a lack of sufficient investigation.

In 2009, a research consortium named Structural Timber Innovation Company (STIC) was founded, with the aim to address various issues encountered with structural timber buildings including timber and TCC flooring systems. STIC is conducting Research and Development (R & D) work in a number of key areas to provide a new competitive edge for commercial and industrial structural timber buildings. The R & D work is undertaken with three parallel objectives at three universities, namely, the University of Technology Sydney (UTS), the University of Canterbury (UC) and the University of Auckland (UA). The focus of UTS is the assessment of various performance issues of long-span timber only and TCC flooring systems for multi-storey timber buildings. The work presented in this thesis deals with the investigation of the dynamic performance of timber only and TCC flooring systems, which is one of the sub-objectives of the research focus at UTS.

In particular, the presented research assesses the dynamic performance of long-span timber and TCC flooring systems using different experimental and numerical test structures. For the experimental investigations, experimental modal testing and analysis

is executed to determine the modal parameters (natural frequencies, damping ratios and mode shapes) of various flooring systems. For the numerical investigations, finite element models are calibrated against experimental results, and are utilised for parametric studies for flooring systems of different sizes. Span tables are generated for both timber and TCC flooring systems that can be used in the design of long-span flooring systems to satisfy the serviceability fundamental frequency requirement of 8 Hz or above. For floors where vibration is deemed to be critical, the dynamic assessment using the 8 Hz frequency requirement alone may not be sufficient and additional dynamic criteria such as response factor, peak acceleration and unit load deflection need to be satisfied. To predict the fundamental frequency of various TCC beams and timber floor modules (beams), five different analytical models are utilised and investigated.

To predict the cross-sectional characteristics of TCC systems and to identify the effective flexural stiffness of partially composite beams, the “Gamma method” is utilised. Essential input parameters for the “Gamma method” are the shear connection properties (strength, serviceability stiffness and ultimate stiffness) that must be identified. Therefore, a number of experimental tests are carried out using small scale specimens to identify strength and serviceability characteristics of four different types of shear connection systems and three of them were adopted in the TCC beams. The connections included two types of mechanical fasteners (normal wood screw and SFS screw) and two types of notched connectors (bird-mouth and trapezoidal shape) with coach screw.

Traditionally, the composite action of a system is determined from static load testing using deflection measurements. However, static load testing is expensive, time consuming and difficult to perform on existing flooring systems. Therefore, two novel methods are developed in this thesis that determines the degree of composite action of timber composite flooring systems using only measurements from non-destructive dynamic testing. The core of both methods is the use of an existing mode-shape-based damage detection technique, namely, the Damage Index (DI) method to derive the loss of composite action indices (*LCAIs*) named as  $LCAI_1$  and  $LCAI_2$ . The DI method utilises modal strain energies derived from mode shape measurements of a flooring system before and after failure of shear connectors. The proposed methods are tested and

validated on a numerical and experimental timber composite beam structure consisting of two LVL components (flange and web). To create different degrees of composite action, the beam is tested with different numbers of shear connectors to simulate the failure of connection screws. The results acquired from the proposed dynamic-based method are calibrated to make them comparable to traditional static-based composite action results. It is shown that the two proposed methods can successfully be used for timber composite structures to determine the composite action using only mode shapes measurements from dynamic testing.

